

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/675,039	Applicant(s) WALTON ET AL.	
	Examiner Midys Inoa	Art Unit 2188	

All Participants:

(1) Midys Inoa.

(2) Richard Sharkansky (25800) .
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2004
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant noted that Examiner failed to consider the electronic IDS filed on October 25th, 2004. Examiner agreed to consider the IDS in question.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)